UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/826,646	04/16/2004	Bernd Wahle	C 2827 US	2294	
23657 FOX ROTHSC		9	EXAMINER		
2000 MARKET			KHAN, AMINA S		
PHILADELPH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/14/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Communication		Application	pplication No. Applicant(s)						
		10/826,646		WAHLE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit				
			AMINA KHA	N	1796				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN THE INSIGN OF	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no event Ill apply and will e cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 06 An	ril 2009						
•		2b)⊠ This a		ı-final					
3)		<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		,	,					
· ·		nonding in t	ho applicatio	nn.					
	Claim(s) 37,46-50,53 and 55 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>48</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
′=	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) <u>37,46,47,49,50,53 and 55</u>	is/are rejecti	ea.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	-4:	-14:						
8)Ш	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election req	uirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any object	ection to the d	lrawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4 5 6) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal P) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/826,646 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this

application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set

forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action

has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 6,

2009 has been entered.

2. Claims 37,46-50,53 and 55 are pending. Claims 1-36,38-45,51,52 and 54 have

been cancelled. Claim 48 has been withdrawn from consideration due to a non-elected

group. Claim 37 has been amended.

3. All prior rejections are withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments to claim 37.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,646 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 37,46,49,50,53 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Benisek et al. (US 4,448,817) in view of Lewis (US 3,933,421).

Benisek et al. teach a method finishing keratinous textile articles, for example wool fabrics, which comprises treating the articles with an anti-felt polymer for example isocyanate functional or bunte salt functional polymers of formula (II) (column 2, lines 30-45), and a polymer of chlorinated ethylenically unsaturated monomer, for example polyvinyl chloride, polyvinylidene chloride, polypropylene, and dichlorobutadiene. Thereafter, the articles are treated with an anionic titanium or zirconium complex at low pH. Benisek teach in the examples that the padding can be followed by drying and no temperature limitation is giving for padding, so room temperature must be used, which meets the limitation of less than or equal to about 40°C. Textiles so treated exhibit both shrink-resistant and flame-retardant properties. See abstract. Benisek et al. teach adding the composition within wide limits to the wool for the desired shrink resistance (column 3, lines 20-35).

Benisek et al. do not teach adding a surfactant to the treatment composition or the 25-45% compound of formula (II).

Lewis teaches treating wool and cotton fabrics to impart shrink resistance (abstract) with similar bunte salts (column 3, lines 40-55) at room temperature wherein the compositions may comprise surfactants (column 8, lines 35-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the methods of Benisek et al. by incorporating the surfactants of Lewis because Lewis teaches that rapid wetting of wool can be achieved at room temperature with the bunte salts combined with surfactants. Furthermore, Benisek et al. and Lewis are both directed towards effectively providing shrink-resistance to wool fabrics.

Regarding the claimed limitation of 25-45%, since Benisek et al. clearly teach using the agent within wide limits for the benefit of shrink-resistance, one of ordinary skill would be motivated to add the instantly claimed concentration for additional shrink resisting properties.

7. Claims 37,46,47,49,50 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lewis (US 3,933,421).

Lewis teaches treating wool and cotton fabrics with compositions comprising bunte salts of formula (II) (column 3, lines 35-50) wherein m is at least 1, preferably 25 (column 2, lines 15-25), at concentrations of 0.1-15% on wool fibers (column 7, lines 20-35) wherein the composition further comprises a surfactant (column 8, lines 35-50) and is aqueous (column 8, lines 20-25). Application of an aqueous solution of the bunte salt

and surfactant meets the claimed limitation of washing. Lewis further teaches using room temperature or temperatures of 10-60°C (column 9, lines 30-40).

Lewis does not teach all the instantly claimed embodiments in a single example and are silent as to drying and reduced pilling.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the select the instantly claimed components from the teachings of Lewis because Lewis teach these methods and components as effective in providing wool fabrics with shrink resistance. Furthermore, air drying of the fabrics would be obvious after they are treated. Regarding the reduced pilling limitation, one of ordinary skill would expect similar fabrics treated with similar chemical compositions by similar method steps would be provided with similar benefits to the fabrics by the chemical composition.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AMINA KHAN whose telephone number is (571)272-5573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,646 Page 6

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Lorna M Douyon/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/Amina Khan/ Examiner, Art Unit 1796 April 13, 2009